THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THIRD EDITION

EDITOR Richard Kingham

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

The Life Sciences Law Review Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Life Sciences Law Review - Edition 3 (published in March 2015 – editor Richard Kingham).

For further information please email Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

Third Edition

Editor
RICHARD KINGHAM

THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW

THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW

THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW

THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW

THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW

THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW

THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW

www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

PUBLISHER Gideon Roberton

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS Katherine Jablonowska, Thomas Lee

> ACCOUNT MANAGER Felicity Bown

PUBLISHING COORDINATOR Lucy Brewer

MARKETING ASSISTANT Dominique Destrée

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Shani Bans

HEAD OF PRODUCTION Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITORS
Tim Beaver, Robbie Kelly, Joanne Morley

SUBEDITOR Janina Godowska

MANAGING DIRECTOR Richard Davey

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London 87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK © 2015 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients.

Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of March 2015, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-909830-40-0

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ADVOKATFIRMAET BA-HR DA

ANAND AND ANAND

AXON LAWYERS

BAE, KIM & LEE LLC

BÄR & KARRER AG

BDK ADVOKATI/ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

DIERKS + BOHLE

FAUS & MOLINER

FIEBINGER POLAK LEON & PARTNER RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

GORODISSKY & PARTNERS LAW FIRM

LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

MAPLES AND CALDER

MATTOS MURIEL KESTENER ADVOGADOS

NAGASHIMA OHNO & TSUNEMATSU

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT

NSN LAW FIRM

PLESNER LAW FIRM

SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY

S. HOROWITZ & CO

SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK

STUDIO LEGALE BIRD & BIRD

TAY & PARTNERS

TOBAR & BUSTAMANTE

TOMPKINS WAKE LAWYERS

VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS

VILAF

WONGPARTNERSHIP LLP

CONTENTS

Editor's Preface	Richard Kingham
Chapter 1	INTERNATIONAL HARMONISATION1 Richard Kingham
Chapter 2	AUSTRALIA7 Bernard O'Shea
Chapter 3	AUSTRIA31 Karina Hellbert
Chapter 4	BELGIUM
Chapter 5	BRAZIL
Chapter 6	CANADA75 Adrienne Blanchard and Jill Daley
Chapter 7	CHINA91 Shaoyu Chen and John Balzano
Chapter 8	DENMARK122 Mikkel Vittrup and Mette Hygum Clausen
Chapter 9	ECUADOR

Contents

Chapter 10	Grant Castle and Robin Blaney	156
Chapter 11	FINLAND	181
	Hanna Paloheimo and Hilma-Karoliina Markkanen	
Chapter 12	FRANCE	192
	Sophie Pelé	
Chapter 13	GERMANY	205
	Christian Dierks and Daniel Geiger	
Chapter 14	INDIA	218
	Pravin Anand and Archana Shanker	
Chapter 15	IRELAND	229
	Maree Gallagher	
Chapter 16	ISRAEL	249
	Dovev Apel	
Chapter 17	ITALY	267
	Massimiliano Mostardini, Giovanni Galimberti, Mauro Turrini and Evelina Marchesoni	
	Mauro Turrini ana Evenna Marchesoni	
Chapter 18	JAPAN	282
	Kenji Utsumi and Kensuke Suzuki	
Chapter 19	KOREA	297
	Jung Min Jo and Eun Soo Lim	
Chapter 20	MALAYSIA	310
	Lee Lin Li and Lim Wee Liang	
Chapter 21	MEXICO	328
•	José Alberto Campos-Vargas	

Contents

Chapter 22	NETHERLANDS	344
•	Arber Gjunkshi, Erik Vollebregt, Carine van den Brink	
	and Annemieke Kooy	
Chapter 23	NEW ZEALAND	360
•	Robert Andrew Bycroft	
Chapter 24	NORWAY	376
	Are Stenvik, Beret Sundet, Andreas Bjørnebye,	
	Fanny Charlotte Tysland and Eirik Basmo Ellingsen	
Chapter 25	POLAND	388
•	Ewa Skrzydło-Tefelska and Jacek Myszko	
Chapter 26	PORTUGAL	400
•	Paulo Pinheiro and Francisca Paulouro	
Chapter 27	RUSSIA	412
1	Evgeny Alexandrov and Ilya Goryachev	
Chapter 28	SERBIA	424
•	Bogdan Ivanišević and Slobodan Trivić	
Chapter 29	SINGAPORE	437
•	Melanie Ho and Charmaine Neo	
Chapter 30	SOUTH AFRICA	451
-	Andrew Parsons, Allison Williams, Justin Malherbe,	
	Liesel Kok and Rosalind Lake	
Chapter 31	SPAIN	467
	Jordi Faus and Juan Suárez	
Chapter 32	SWITZERLAND	478
_	Markus Schott and Markus Wang	

Contents

Chapter 33	TAIWAN	491
•	Katherine YC Juang, Jill Niu and Daisy Wang	
Chapter 34	TURKEY Selma Ünlü	506
Chapter 35	UNITED KINGDOMGrant Castle and Sarah Cowlishaw	519
Chapter 36	UNITED STATES Richard Kingham and Krista Hessler Carver	535
Chapter 37	VIETNAM Vo Ha Duyen, Kevin Hawkins and Pham Si Hai Quynh	571
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	587
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAIL	S613

EDITOR'S PREFACE

The third edition of *The Life Sciences Law Review* extends coverage to a total of 36 jurisdictions, providing an overview of legal requirements of interest to pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device companies. As before, the chapters are arranged to describe requirements throughout the life cycle of a regulated product – from discovery to clinical trials, the marketing authorisation process and post-approval controls. Certain other legal matters of special interest to manufacturers of medical products – including administrative remedies, pricing and reimbursement, competition law, special liability regimes and commercial transactions – are also covered. Finally, there is a special chapter on international harmonisation, which is of increasing importance in many of the regulatory systems that are described in the national chapters.

Each of the chapters has been written by leading experts within the relevant jurisdiction. They are an impressive group, and it is a pleasure to be associated with them in the preparation of this annual publication.

Richard Kingham

Covington & Burling LLP Washington, DC March 2015

Chapter 1

INTERNATIONAL HARMONISATION

Richard Kingham¹

I INTRODUCTION

Over the past 25 years, major efforts have been made to harmonise the technical requirements relating to the drug regulatory process and – to a lesser extent – that for medical devices. By far the most successful such initiative has been the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH). ICH guidelines have now been incorporated into the drug regulatory systems in the European Union, the United States, Japan and many other jurisdictions, and the ICH Common Technical Document (CTD) and its electronic version (eCTD) have become the standard format for the submission of data in support of marketing authorisation applications in most major developed countries. In addition to the ICH, there are a number of other harmonisation initiatives, including the International Medical Device Regulators Forum (IMDRF) and a variety of regional harmonisation programmes.

II ICH

i History

For many years, certain major drug regulatory agencies maintained informal arrangements for cooperation and communication, but there was no formal mechanism to agree on the harmonisation of technical requirements for the drug development and approval process. Experience with successful harmonisation initiatives in the European Community during the 1980s led to the establishment of the ICH, following a meeting of regulators and industry representatives in Brussels in 1990 that was hosted by the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations. The original parties

¹ Richard Kingham is a partner at Covington & Burling LLP.

to the ICH were the European Community (now the EU), the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, along with the national trade associations of the pharmaceutical industry in the EU, US and Japan.² The World Health Organization (WHO), European Free Trade Association and Canada were given observer status.³

Initial efforts focused on well-defined technical issues, such as the design of stability studies and standard toxicology studies, about which there was no serious disagreement on general principles and objectives. There was no effort made to establish requirements for the mutual recognition of approval decisions or to address other potentially controversial topics.

Over the years, the ICH has broadened the base of organisations that contribute to its processes. For example, in 1996, generic industry experts and manufacturers of non-prescription medicines were invited to participate in technical discussions of issues of special interest to them. More recently, several regional harmonisation initiatives have participated in meetings of the ICH,⁴ as have the drug regulatory agencies and ministries of health of countries outside the EU, the US and Japan.⁵

ii Organisation and procedures

The ICH is governed by a steering committee, composed of members from the regulatory authorities and industry groups from the EU, US and Japan, which determines policies and procedures, selects topics for harmonisation, monitors and facilitates the progress of expert working groups and signs off ICH documents. There is also a secretariat, based in Switzerland and supported by the International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Associations. A separate managing board within the ICH supervises the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MeDRA), which establishes standardised terminology for communicating regulatory information concerning pharmaceuticals, including information in drug safety reports.

The main work of the ICH is done by expert working groups, which are organised into four broad categories: safety, efficacy, quality and multidisciplinary topics. These groups, which include experts from regulatory agencies and the pharmaceutical industry, draft guidelines and other documents and propose them for adoption through the ICH process. That process consists of five steps: step 1 involves development of the scientific consensus for a guideline; step 2, agreeing on the draft text of a guideline; step 3,

These included the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations, the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America and the Japan Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association.

³ Detailed information on the ICH and its programmes is contained on the website, www.ich. org.

These are the Association of Southeast Asian Nations, the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation countries, the Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf, the Pan-American Network for Drug Regulatory Harmonization, the Southeastern African Development Community and the East African Community.

⁵ These are Australia, Brazil, China, Chinese Taipei, India, Korea, Russia and Singapore.

consulting with regional regulatory agencies; step 4, adoption of harmonised guidelines; and step 5, implementation of guidelines in the ICH regions. Although participants in the ICH process undertake to adopt harmonised guidelines as part of their national or regional regulatory requirements, full implementation may not be automatic if changes are required in local legislation or regulations.⁶ Final guidance may be supplemented by Q&As or other explanatory documents.

iii Principal ICH guidelines

Quality

One of the first topics identified for harmonisation by the ICH relates to drug quality – specifically, stability studies of drug products. Since then, the ICH has pursued harmonisation efforts in connection with analytical validation,⁷ impurities,⁸ pharmaceutical development,⁹ pharmaceutical quality systems¹⁰ and development and manufacture of drug substances,¹¹ *inter alia*. Of particular significance are workstreams relating to pharmacopoeias, which support efforts to harmonise compendial requirements in the EU, the US and Japan;¹² quality of biotechnology products,¹³ including important issues such as viral safety and comparability of biological products following manufacturing changes; good manufacturing practice;¹⁴ and quality risk management.¹⁵

Safety

Harmonisation of the major categories of non-clinical safety studies has been a major accomplishment of the ICH. Until the ICH process began, the FDA actually maintained few formal guidelines for non-clinical safety studies of drug products, and requirements were based largely on custom and informal compilations of documents written by agency staff. Today, there are agreed standards for studies of carcinogenicity, ¹⁶

When the CTD was adopted, the EU issued secondary legislation to make its use a legally binding requirement for applicants, whereas the FDA chose not to amend its regulations governing the content and format of a new drug application, on the theory that the same information was required to be submitted and only the organisation of the information was changed.

⁷ ICH Q1A-Q1F.

⁸ ICH Q3A-Q3D.

⁹ ICH Q8.

¹⁰ ICH Q10.

¹¹ ICH Q11.

¹² ICH Q4-Q4B.

¹³ ICH Q5A-Q5E.

¹⁴ ICH Q7.

¹⁵ ICH Q9.

¹⁶ ICH S1A-S1C.

genotoxicity,¹⁷ toxicokinetics and pharmacokinetics,¹⁸ toxicity generally,¹⁹ reproductive toxicity²⁰ and immunotoxicity,²¹ as well as procedures for studies of biotechnology products,²² pharmacology,²³ non-clinical evaluation of anti-cancer pharmaceuticals²⁴ and photosafety.²⁵

Efficacy

The 'efficacy' category actually includes topics relating to human safety studies and pharmacovigilance as well as studies to determine the effectiveness of drug products. One of the most significant guidelines, relating to good clinical practice and originally adopted in 1996,²⁶ has become the internationally recognised standard for conducting clinical trials. It deals with the full range of topics, including study design, protection of human subjects, assurance of quality and reliability of data in clinical trials, the roles of sponsors, investigators and institutions, and many other issues. Of similar importance is the guideline on the format and content of clinical study reports,²⁷ which also serves as the model for all major developed jurisdictions. Other topics are clinical safety (including pharmacovigilance),²⁸ dose-response studies,²⁹ ethnic factors,³⁰ clinical trials generally (including statistical principles),³¹ clinical evaluation,³² clinical evaluation by therapeutic category³³ and pharmacogenomics.³⁴

The Common Technical Document

One of the most important accomplishments of the ICH process has been adoption of the CTD, which is now the generally accepted format for submission of data and analyses in support of marketing authorisation applications in major developed countries.³⁵ Use

¹⁷ ICH S2.

¹⁸ ICH S3A-S3B.

¹⁹ ICH S4.

²⁰ ICH S5.

²¹ ICH S8.

²² ICH S6.

²³ ICH S7A-S7B.

²⁴ ICH S9.

²⁵ ICH S10.

²⁶ ICH E6.

²⁷ ICH E3.

²⁸ ICH E1-E2F.

²⁹ ICH E4.

³⁰ ICH E5.

³¹ ICH E7-E11.

³² ICH E14.

³³ ICH E12A.

³⁴ ICH E15-E16.

The CTD was adopted as a multidisciplinary topic (ICH M4), as was the electronic version, or eCTD (ICH M8).

of the CTD became mandatory in the EU and Japan in 2003, and its use was also strongly recommended – and in practice required – by the FDA. It has greatly reduced duplication of effort in the preparation of dossiers for submission in major markets around the world.

The CTD comprises five modules: Module 1 consists of regional administrative information, which varies by jurisdiction; Module 2 contains summaries and overview documents; Module 3 contains information on the quality of the drug product, including components, manufacturing procedures, facilities and similar matters (what the FDA calls chemistry, manufacturing and controls); Module 4 contains reports of non-clinical studies (safety); and Module 5 contains reports of clinical studies (efficacy).

MeDRA

MeDRA establishes common terminology for use in individual case safety reports, periodic safety update reports and similar documents used for monitoring and evaluating safety signals related to drug products. It is supervised by the MeDRA Oversight Board, which contracts with the Maintenance and Support Services Organisation to ensure that the dictionary is kept up to date. The MeDRA system is coordinated with an earlier international dictionary developed by the World Health Organization (the WHO Adverse Reaction Terminology).

III OTHER HARMONISATION INITIATIVES

i PIC/S

The Pharmaceutical Inspection Convention (PIC), originally agreed among several European nations in 1970, established procedures for common standards for drug manufacturing quality, mutual recognition of inspections and other matters. It was supplemented in 1995 by the Pharmaceutical Inspection Co-operation Scheme, and today the two entities are commonly referred to as PIC/S. Members include 44 regulatory authorities and international organisations. PIC/S seeks to establish common standards for good manufacturing practice (GMP), training of inspectors, conduct of inspections, information sharing (including a rapid alert system) and other multinational initiatives relating to drug quality. Some member state authorities will accept GMP certificates from other PIC/S members, and membership in the PIC/S programme can facilitate bilateral mutual recognition agreements for GMP inspections.³⁶

ii IMDRF and other medical device initiatives

The IMDRF is a voluntary group of medical device regulators that seeks to advance harmonisation of regulatory requirements for medical devices. Established in 2011, it is the successor to the Global Harmonisation Task Force on Medical Devices. Current members are Argentina, Brazil, Canada, the EU, Japan and the United States, with the WHO as an observer; China and Russia are currently under consideration for membership. Guidelines have been issued concerning requirements and training

³⁶ Further details are set out in the PIC/S website, www.picscheme.org.

for auditing organisations and regulatory assessors for medical devices, unique device identification and software medical devices.³⁷

The International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) and the International Electrotechnical Commission have issued numerous standards that have important practical effects on regulation of medical devices. Perhaps most important has been the ISO 9000 series of standards, relating to quality-management systems, which was adopted as one of the key standards for the implementation of the EU medical device legislation.³⁸ Concepts derived from ISO 9000 have also been incorporated into device quality system regulations in the United States.³⁹

³⁷ Details can be found at www.imdrf.org.

See, e.g., Council Directive 90/385/EEC on approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to active implantable medical devices; Council Directive 93/42/EEC concerning medical devices.

^{39 21} CFR. Part 820.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

RICHARD KINGHAM

Covington & Burling LLP

Richard Kingham is a partner in the firm of Covington & Burling LLP, where he serves as co-head of the life sciences industry group and the industry, regulatory and legislative practice group. He previously served as the managing partner of the firm's London office and as a member of the firm-wide management committee. Since joining the firm in 1973, he has concentrated on regulation of pharmaceuticals and related products. He has acted for most of the major pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies in the United States and Europe, as well as the principal trade associations of the pharmaceutical industry. He has served on committees of the World Health Organization, the Center for Global Development, the Institute of Medicine of the US National Academy of Sciences and the National Institutes of Health. He is currently an adjunct professor at the Georgetown University Law Center, and he has lectured at the University of Virginia School of Law and the graduate programme in pharmaceutical medicine at Cardiff University. He received his law degree in 1973 from the University of Virginia, where he served as articles editor of the law review and was elected to the Order of the Coif (the law school honour society).

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 United States Tel: +1 202 662 5268/5197

Fax: +1 202 778 5268/5197

rkingham@cov.com www.cov.com