PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 11	NUMBER 4	April 2025
Editor's Note: The False Cla Victoria Prussen Spears	ims Act	513
	n Review—Part I Faryn McDonald, Neil Issar, Matthew Liptrot, Léa Dickinson, and John Tanner	515
Opportunity" Executive Ord	Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Beer Targets Federal Contractors, and the Privit A. Freling, Evan D. Parness, Jennifer L. Plitseagner	ate Sector
The Regulatory Power Behir Education Kurt D. Dykstra	nd President Trump's DEI Initiative on High	537
Federal Court Finds Project Jeremy D. Burkhart and Baile	Labor Agreement Mandate Unlawful	539



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call or email: Email: Julie.Chee@lexisnexis.com For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call: Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844 Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385 (800) 828-8341 LexisNexis® Support Center https://supportcenter.lexisnexis.com/app/home/ For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call (800) 223-1940 (518) 487-3385

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

ISSN: 2688-7290

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2025 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. Originally published in: 2017

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW **\delta** BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

ERIC S. CRUSIUS

Partner, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

PABLO J. DAVIS

Of Counsel, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

MERLE M. DELANCEY JR.

Partner, Blank Rome LLP

J. ANDREW HOWARD

Partner, Alston & Bird LLP

KYLE R. JEFCOAT

Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP

JOHN E. JENSEN

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

DISMAS LOCARIA

Partner, Venable LLP

KEVIN P. MULLEN

Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP

VINCENT J. NAPOLEON

Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP

KEITH SZELIGA

Partner, Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP

STUART W. TURNER

Counsel, Arnold & Porter

ERIC WHYTSELL

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report is published 12 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2025 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher. The opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of their employer, its clients, the editor(s), RELX, LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Co., Inc, or any of its or their respective affiliates.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

iV (2024–Pub.4938)

President Trump's "Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity" Executive Order Targets Federal Contractors, and the Private Sector

By Lindsay Buchanan Burke, Scott A. Freling, Evan D. Parness, Jennifer L. Plitsch, Carolyn Rashby, and Mike Wagner*

In this article, the authors examine an executive order issued by President Trump revoking a 60-year-old Civil Rights-era directive that prohibited federal contractors from discriminating on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or national origin, and that required federal contractors to take affirmative action to provide equal opportunity in employment.

On January 21, 2025, President Trump issued Executive Order 14173 (EO), "Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity," which revokes Executive Order 11246, a 60-year-old Civil Rights-era directive that prohibited federal contractors from discriminating on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or national origin, and that required federal contractors to take affirmative action to provide equal opportunity in employment.

The EO seeks to "end[] illegal preferences and discrimination" and "promote individual initiative, excellence, and hard work" by ending the use of "dangerous, demeaning, and immoral race- and sex-based preferences under the guise of so-called 'diversity, equity, and inclusion' (DEI) or 'diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility' (DEIA)" programs.

The EO does so by prescribing required contract provisions for federal contracts and by requiring specific reports from the heads of federal agencies, including identification of private entities for potential investigation, as described further below.

The provisions of the EO do not apply to federal or private sector employment and contracting preferences for veterans.

Federal contractors and grant recipients were given until April 21, 2025, to comply with the EO's revocation of affirmative action requirements. However,

^{*} The authors, attorneys with Covington & Burling LLP, may be contacted at lburke@cov.com, sfreling@cov.com, eparness@cov.com, jplitsch@cov.com, crashby@cov.com, and mwagner@cov.com, respectively.

https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/ending-illegal-discrimination-and-restoring-merit-based-opportunity/.

federal contractors, subcontractors, and grant recipients became subject to the new contract provision requirements imposed by the EO immediately.²

ELIMINATION OF FEDERAL CONTRACTOR AFFIRMATIVE ACTION REQUIREMENTS AND DEI REFERENCES

In addition to revoking Executive Order 11246, the EO requires the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP), which has been responsible for administering and enforcing Executive Order 11246 for many years, to "immediately cease" promoting diversity, enforcing affirmative action requirements, and allowing or encouraging federal contractors and subcontractors to engage in "workforce balancing" based on race, color, sex, sexual preference, religion, or national origin. The EO explicitly prohibits federal contractors or subcontractors from considering race, color, sex, sexual preference, religion, or national origin in employment, procurement, or contracting practices. Although OFCCP will no longer enforce affirmative action requirements, the EO delayed implementation of this prohibition for current federal contractors through April 21, 2025, so contractors had until this date to sunset any affirmative action programs, absent judicial intervention.³

The EO also directs the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the Attorney General to:

- Review and revise, as appropriate all government-wide processes, directives, and guidance;
- Remove references to DEI principles from federal acquisition, contracting, grants, and financial assistance procedures; and
- Terminate "all DEI-related mandates, requirements, programs, or activities," which the EO does not define.

NEW REQUIREMENTS FOR FEDERAL CONTRACTORS AND SUB-CONTRACTORS TO COMPLY WITH CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS

The EO obligates each federal agency to immediately include certain provisions in future federal contracts or grant awards, including requiring that the federal contractor or grant recipient (1) "agree" that its compliance with all

² The EO also requires the Attorney General and Secretary of Education to issue guidance to state and local educational agencies and institutions of higher education that receive federal funding on how to comply with the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard College.

³ Note that OFCCP's authority to enforce requirements under Section 503 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which considers disability status, and the Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974, which focuses on veteran status, does not appear to be impacted by the EO.

applicable federal anti-discrimination laws is "material to the government's payment decisions" for purposes of the False Claims Act (FCA), and (2) certify that it does not operate "any programs promoting DEI" that violate federal anti-discrimination laws. Although the language of the EO is not entirely clear, the EO does not appear to apply retroactively, and suggests that it cannot—without additional agency action—modify any existing agreements with a federal agency.

In light of these new requirements and the potential for enforcement under the FCA, federal contractors and grant recipients should consider taking steps now to review their existing DEI programs for compliance with federal civil rights laws, including 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

EFFORTS TO TARGET PRIVATE SECTOR DEI PROGRAMS

The EO requires that within 120 days, the Attorney General, in consultation with relevant agencies and OMB, submit a report to the Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy containing "recommendations for enforcing Federal civil-rights laws and taking other appropriate measures to encourage the private sector to end illegal discrimination and preferences, including DEI." The report must include:

- Key "sectors of concern" within each agency's jurisdiction;
- "The most egregious and discriminatory DEI practitioners in each sector of concern";
- A plan to deter DEI programs (whether specifically denominated as DEI or otherwise) "that constitute illegal discrimination or preferences" in which each agency identifies "up to nine potential civil compliance investigations" of publicly traded corporations, large non-profit corporations or associations, foundations with assets of \$500 million or more, state and local bar and medical associations, and institutions of higher education with endowments over one billion dollars;
- Strategies to encourage the private sector to terminate "illegal DEI discrimination and preferences";
- Potential litigation that could be "appropriate for Federal lawsuits, intervention, or statements of interest"; and
- Potential regulatory action and guidance.

Importantly, the EO does not define "civil compliance investigation," nor does it provide the statutory basis for initiating such investigations. It is possible that the agencies responsible for enforcing relevant anti-discrimination laws—

such as OFCCP, the Department of Labor, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice—could initiate these "civil compliance investigations."

If the contemplated investigations are similar to other government civil enforcement investigations, the investigation will likely begin with a subpoena requesting documents relevant to its inquiry. However, the full range of enforcement actions is not clear based on the language in the EO, so it will be important for private sector entities to carefully monitor implementation and the first announced investigations.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR EMPLOYERS AND CONTRACTORS

Federal contractors and grant recipients should review their approach to human resources matters in the performance of federal contracts, subcontracts, and grants, including, in particular, affirmative action requirements such as flow down clauses in new subcontracts or awards, recordkeeping obligations, the implementation and maintenance of affirmative action plans, and making posters and notices available to employees.

Federal contractors should also anticipate that while the EO's newly required terms and certification requirements will appear in new contracts and grant awards, federal agencies could try to amend or modify existing contracts. Existing contracts presumably could be successfully modified only with the contractor's agreement, though it is possible we will see further federal guidance on this topic. Further, in light of possible FCA liability, federal contractors and grant recipients should consider conducting compliance assessments to ensure existing workplace programs comply with anti-discrimination laws.

Although the EO does not set new requirements for private companies that do not engage in federal contracting and grants, the EO's provisions implying potential compliance investigations of private entities' DEI programs suggests heightened scrutiny of all private sector DEI programs, regardless of industry.

Notably, demonstrating the lawfulness of DEI programs will likely be necessary in responding to these investigations. Thus, all private sector companies should consider working with legal counsel to assess existing DEI programs and policies to ensure compliance with federal laws.