# The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

### An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

**JUNE 2019** 

EDITOR'S NOTE: CYBERCRIME Steven A. Meyerowitz

UCC SECTION 4A-207(b) IN THE AGE OF CYBERCRIME Benjamin W. Clements

# HOUSE FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE PASSES CANNABIS BANKING BILL D. Jean Veta, Michael Nonaka, and Jenny Scott Konko

U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDS FORECLOSURE FIRMS CONDUCTING NONJUDICIAL FORECLOSURES ARE NOT DEBT COLLECTORS UNDER THE FDCPA
Wayne Streibich, Diana M. Eng, Cheryl S. Chang, Jonathan M. Robbin, and

# A NEW ERA OF EXTRATERRITORIAL SEC ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS Joshua D. Roth and Alexander R. Weiner

NY DFS CYBERSECURITY REGULATION, TWO YEARS IN—WHAT COMES NEXT?
Phyllis B. Sumner, Scott Ferber, Ehren Halse, John A. Horn, and William Johnson

### THE PAYDAY RULE AND THE CFPB'S NEW LENSES

Quyen T. Truong

NEW YORK BANKRUPTCY COURT FINDS THAT AIRCRAFT LEASES' LIQUIDATED DAMAGES CLAUSES AND GUARANTEES ARE UNENFORCEABLE Arthur J. Steinberg, Christopher T. Buchanan, Jason Huff, and Scott Davidson

### PARTIES SETTLE MIDLAND FUNDING INTEREST RATE LITIGATION

Susan F. DiCicco and David I. Monteiro

HEADS OR TAILS? MAKING SENSE OF CRYPTO-TOKENS ISSUED BY EMERGING BLOCKCHAIN COMPANIES

Jeremy A. Herschaft and Michelle Ann Gitlitz

THE MANDATORY DISCLOSURE RULES FOR CRS AVOIDANCE ARRANGEMENTS AND OPAQUE OFFSHORE STRUCTURES: CAVEAT CONSILIARIO Damien Rios



# THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 136	NUMBER 6	June 2019
Editor's Note: Cybercrime Steven A. Meyerowitz		299
UCC Section 4A-207(b) in the Benjamin W. Clements	he Age of Cybercrime	302
House Financial Services Co D. Jean Veta, Michael Nonaka	mmittee Passes Cannabis Banking Bill a, and Jenny Scott Konko	312
U.S. Supreme Court Holds I Are Not Debt Collectors Und	Foreclosure Firms Conducting Nonjudicial Foreclosuder the FDCPA	ires
Wayne Streibich, Diana M. Er Namrata Loomba	ng, Cheryl S. Chang, Jonathan M. Robbin, and	316
A New Era of Extraterritori Joshua D. Roth and Alexander	al SEC Enforcement Actions r R. Weiner	320
	ulation, Two Years In—What Comes Next? er, Ehren Halse, John A. Horn, and William Johnson	327
The Payday Rule and the Cl Quyen T. Truong	FPB's New Lenses	331
Clauses and Guarantees Are		225
0. 1	er T. Buchanan, Jason Huff, and Scott Davidson	335
Parties Settle Midland Fund Susan F. DiCicco and David I		339
Heads or Tails? Making Sen Companies	se of Crypto-Tokens Issued by Emerging Blockchain	n
Jeremy A. Herschaft and Mich	helle Ann Gitlitz	342
The Mandatory Disclosure F Offshore Structures: Caveat Damien Rios	Rules for CRS Avoidance Arrangements and Opaque Consiliario	e 347



### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or re-	eprint permission,
please call:	
Matthew T. Burke at	(800) 252-9257
Email: matthew.t.burke	@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other custome please call:	r service matters,
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisne	xis.com/custserv/
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call	
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print) Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2019 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

# Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

### STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

### **EDITOR**

### VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

JAMES F. BAUERLE

Keevican Weiss Bauerle & Hirsch LLC

### BARKLEY CLARK

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

### MICHAEL J. HELLER

Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

### SATISH M. KINI

Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

### **DOUGLAS LANDY**

Partner, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

### PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

### GIVONNA ST. CLAIR LONG

Partner, Kelley Drye & Warren LLP

### STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

### DAVID RICHARDSON

Partner, Dorsey & Whitney

### STEPHEN T. SCHREINER

Partner, Goodwin Procter LLP

### ELIZABETH C. YEN

Partner, Hudson Cook, LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2019 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

## House Financial Services Committee Passes Cannabis Banking Bill

### D. Jean Veta, Michael Nonaka, and Jenny Scott Konko\*

The House Financial Services Committee recently voted 45-15 to advance to the full House of Representatives the "Secure and Fair Enforcement Banking Act of 2019." The Act would shield banks and credit unions from federal regulatory penalties for providing financial services to legitimate cannabis-related businesses and service providers. The authors of this article discuss the bill.

On March 28, 2019, the House Financial Services Committee ("HFSC") voted 45-15 to advance to the full House of Representatives H.R. 1595,¹ the "Secure and Fair Enforcement Banking Act of 2019" (the "SAFE Banking Act" or the "Act"). The SAFE Banking Act would shield banks and credit unions from federal regulatory penalties for providing financial services to legitimate cannabis-related businesses and service providers. The bill, sponsored by Representatives Ed Perlmutter (D-CO) and Denny Heck (D-WA), had nearly 150 cosponsors and passed as an amendment in the nature of a substitute on a bipartisan basis, with eleven Republicans voting in favor of the legislation.

### **BACKGROUND**

Although 47 states, plus the District of Columbia, have legalized or decriminalized some form of adult recreational, medical, or limited-medical marijuana or marijuana cannabidiol oil, the manufacture, distribution, or possession of marijuana is illegal under the federal Controlled Substances Act ("CSA"), except as authorized in very narrow circumstances. The legal uncertainty resulting from the divergence in federal and state law has caused most large financial institutions to decline to provide financial services to cannabis-related businesses directly, as well as to many service providers of

<sup>\*</sup> D. Jean Veta is a partner at Covington & Burling LLP defending financial institutions and their officers and directors in civil and regulatory enforcement matters, government investigations, internal corporate investigations, and congressional investigations. Michael Nonaka is a partner at the firm and co-chair of the Financial Services Group advising banks, financial services providers, and non-bank companies on a broad range of compliance, enforcement, transactional, and legislative matters. Jenny Scott Konko is an associate at the firm advising banks, financial institutions, and other companies on a range of financial services, regulatory and policy matters. The authors may be reached at jveta@cov.com, mnonaka@cov.com, and jkonko@cov.com, respectively.

https://financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/bills-116-hr1595-p000593-amdt-1.pdf.

cannabis-related businesses, such as suppliers, landlords, and other vendors. As a result, many cannabis-related businesses that operate legally under state law are forced to operate on a cash-only basis, which creates public safety risks and provides opportunities for money laundering and other financial crimes.

### THE SAFE BANKING ACT

The SAFE Banking Act would create several important protections for depository institutions and federal and state credit unions (collectively, "depository institutions") that provide financial services to cannabis-related legitimate businesses ("CRLBs"), which are defined broadly to include any individual or company that engages in a wide range of cannabis-related activities in accordance with state law. These protections would also apply to CRLB service providers, defined broadly to include entities that sell goods or services to CRLBs or provide any business services, including the sale or lease of real or any other property, or any other ancillary service relating to cannabis ("Service Providers"). Specifically, the Act would prohibit federal banking regulators from:

- Terminating or limiting the deposit insurance or taking any enforcement or other adverse action under Section 8 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act solely because the depository institution provides financial services to a CRLB or Service Provider;
- Prohibiting, penalizing, or otherwise discouraging a depository institution—or
  entity performing a financial service for or in association with a
  depository institution—from providing financial services to a CRLB or
  Service Provider;
- Recommending, incentivizing, or encouraging a depository institution not to offer, or to downgrade or cancel, financial services solely because the account holder is or becomes a CRLB or Service Provider, or an employee, owner, or operator of a CRLB or Service Provider; and
- Taking any adverse or corrective supervisory action on a loan made to a CRLB or Service Provider, or an employee, owner, or operator of—or owner or operator of real estate or equipment leased to—a CRLB or Service Provider.

Importantly, the Act provides that for purposes of the Money Laundering Control Act of 1986,<sup>2</sup> "and all other provisions of Federal law," the proceeds from a transaction conducted by a CRLB or Service Provider shall not be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956, 1957.

considered proceeds from an unlawful activity solely because the transaction was conducted by a CRLB or Service Provider. Moreover, a depository institution—or entity performing a financial service for or in association with a depository institution that provides a financial service to a CRLB or Service Provider—(and their officers, directors, and employees) "may not be held liable pursuant to any federal law or regulation" solely for providing financial services to a CRLB or Service Provider, or investing income derived from such services in states where cannabis is legal.

In addition, the SAFE Banking Act:

- Provides protection from criminal, civil, or administrative forfeiture for legal interests in collateral for loans or other financial services to an owner, employee, or operator of a CRLB or Service Provider, or owners or operators of real estate or equipment leased or sold to such businesses.
- Amends the U.S. Bank Secrecy Act to require financial institutions to comply with Financial Crimes Enforcement Network ("FinCEN") guidance when filing Suspicious Activity Reports ("SARs") related to CRLBs or Service Providers; any such FinCEN guidance must be consistent with the Act and must not significantly inhibit the provision of financial services to a CRLB or Service Provider in states where cannabis is legal.
- Requires the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council to develop guidance and examination procedures for depository institutions that provide financial services to CRLBs and Service Providers.
- Requires the federal banking regulators to issue an annual report to Congress containing information and data on the availability of access to financial services for minority-owned and women-owned CRLBs and any related regulatory or legislative recommendations.
- Directs the Government Accountability Office ("GAO") to carry out a study on the barriers to marketplace entry—including in the licensing process—and access to financial services for potential and existing minority-owned and women-owned CRLBs, and issue a report to Congress that includes any related regulatory or legislative recommendations.

The HFSC passed three amendments to the bill: (1) an amendment from Representative Scott Tipton (R-CO) that would instruct the GAO also to study the effectiveness of SARs relating to CRLBs or Service Providers; (2) an amendment from Representative Steve Stivers (R-OH) that would extend many of the Act's protections to insurers; and (3) an amendment from Representative Katie Porter (D-CA) that would extend many of the Act's protections to applicants for depository institution charters.

### WHAT'S NEXT?

The SAFE Banking Act will now move to the House floor for debate. Although only three amendments were approved in committee, Representative Perlmutter said he would be happy to negotiate with members on additional amendments when the bill moves to the full House. In the Senate, Senator Jeff Merkley (D-OR) has introduced similar legislation for several years and recently reintroduced the Senate version of the SAFE Banking Act in the 116th Congress. Senate Banking Committee Chairman, Mike Crapo (R-ID), has been largely quiet on the issue of cannabis banking, and his home state of Idaho is one of three states that has not legalized or decriminalized recreational, medical, or limited-medical marijuana or marijuana cannabidiol oil in any form.

Several other bills pending in both the House and the Senate would go further than the SAFE Banking Act and decriminalize cannabis at the federal level. Other bills contain more targeted legislation to provide funding for medical cannabis research, provide safe harbors for veteran use of medical cannabis, and provide broader protections for financial services to cannabis companies. While prospects for enactment of the SAFE Banking Act are unclear, it appears that issues surrounding the legal status of cannabis will continue to receive attention in Congress.