

AN A.S. PRATT PUBLICATION

APRIL 2019

VOL. 5 • NO. 4

PRATT'S
**GOVERNMENT
CONTRACTING
LAW**
REPORT



EDITOR'S NOTE: SPRING CLEANING FOR CONTRACTORS

Victoria Prussen Spears

GSA MOVES FORWARD WITH PLAN TO CONSOLIDATE THE FEDERAL SUPPLY SCHEDULE PROGRAM

Kristen E. Ittig, Stuart W. Turner, Amanda J. Sherwood, and Alexandra Barbee-Garrett

TRUMP'S NEW EXECUTIVE ORDER REQUIRES ADDITIONAL BUY AMERICAN PREFERENCES FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

E. Sanderson Hoe, Michael Wagner, and Peter Terenzio

OF HUMAN BONDAGE AND CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE

James A. Tucker

WHAT CAN BE LEARNED FROM THE DOJ'S 2018 FALSE CLAIMS ACT RECOVERIES?

Suzanne Jaffe Bloom, Benjamin Sokoly, and Cristina I. Calvar

DOJ MOVES TO DISMISS 10 KICKBACK-RELATED FALSE CLAIMS ACT COMPLAINTS AGAINST PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES

William S.W. Chang, Laura M. Kidd Cordova, and Spencer Churchill

NEW DECISIONS CLARIFY SMALL BUSINESS MINORITY SHAREHOLDER PROTECTIONS

Damien C. Specht, James A. Tucker, Steven Cave, and Victoria Dalcourt Angle

PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 5

NUMBER 4

APRIL 2019

Editor's Note: Spring Cleaning for Contractors

Victoria Prussen Spears

101

GSA Moves Forward with Plan to Consolidate the Federal Supply Schedule Program

Kristen E. Ittig, Stuart W. Turner, Amanda J. Sherwood, and Alexandra Barbee-Garrett

104

Trump's New Executive Order Requires Additional Buy American Preferences for Infrastructure Projects

E. Sanderson Hoe, Michael Wagner, and Peter Terenzio

108

Of Human Bondage and *Christian Doctrine*

James A. Tucker

113

What Can Be Learned from the DOJ's 2018 False Claims Act Recoveries?

Suzanne Jaffe Bloom, Benjamin Sokoly, and Cristina I. Calvar

116

DOJ Moves to Dismiss 10 Kickback-Related False Claims Act Complaints Against Pharmaceutical Companies

William S.W. Chang, Laura M. Kidd Cordova, and Spencer Churchill

126

New Decisions Clarify Small Business Minority Shareholder Protections

Damien C. Specht, James A. Tucker, Steven Cave, and Victoria Dalcourt Angle

130

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Heidi A. Litman at 516-771-2169
Email: heidi.a.litman@lexisnexus.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexus.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT’S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT’S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2019 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. Originally published in: 2015

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexus.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

MARY BETH BOSCO

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

DARWIN A. HINDMAN III

Shareholder, Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

J. ANDREW HOWARD

Partner, Alston & Bird LLP

KYLE R. JEFCOAT

Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP

JOHN E. JENSEN

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

DISMAS LOCARIA

Partner, Venable LLP

MARCIA G. MADSEN

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

KEVIN P. MULLEN

Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP

VINCENT J. NAPOLEON

Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP

STUART W. TURNER

Counsel, Arnold & Porter

ERIC WHYTSELL

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

WALTER A.I. WILSON

Senior Partner, Polsinelli PC

PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT is published twelve times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright 2019 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, please access www.copyright.com or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For subscription information and customer service, call 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to government contractors, attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, and senior business executives. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Avenue, New Providence, NJ 07974.

Trump's New Executive Order Requires Additional Buy American Preferences for Infrastructure Projects

*By E. Sanderson Hoe, Michael Wagner, and Peter Terenzio**

This article explains a new executive order, entitled “Strengthening Buy-American Preferences for Infrastructure Projects,” which continues to emphasize the importance of “the use of goods, products, and materials produced in the United States,” but is specifically directed towards infrastructure projects that are recipients of federal financial assistance awards.

President Trump issued a new executive order, entitled “Strengthening Buy-American Preferences for Infrastructure Projects.”¹ This order serves as an extension of the President’s earlier April 2017 “Buy American and Hire American”² executive order. The April 2017 order stated that “it shall be the policy of the executive branch to buy American and hire American,” and, among other things, directed agencies to “scrupulously, monitor, enforce, and comply with” domestic preference laws (referred to by the executive order as “Buy American Laws”) and to minimize use of waivers that would permit the purchase of foreign end products.

The president’s new order continues to emphasize the importance of “the use of goods, products, and materials produced in the United States,” but is specifically directed towards infrastructure projects that are recipients of federal financial assistance awards. Federally-financed infrastructure has also been a stated area of focus for the Trump administration, although the Administra-

* E. Sanderson Hoe (shoe@cov.com) is senior of counsel at Covington & Burling LLP focusing his practice on issues of contract formation, negotiation of subcontracts, bid protests, the structuring of complex private financing of government contracts, preparation of complex claims, and the resolution of post-award contract disputes through litigation or alternative dispute resolution. Michael Wagner (mwagner@cov.com) is a partner at the firm helping government contractors navigate high-stakes enforcement matters and complex regulatory regimes. Peter Terenzio (pterenzio@cov.com) is an associate at the firm, where he is a member of the Government Contracts Practice Group.

¹ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-strengthening-buy-american-preferences-infrastructure-projects/>.

² <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/presidential-executive-order-buy-american-hire-american/>.

tion’s “Legislative Outline for Rebuilding Infrastructure in America”³ released last year curiously lacked any domestic preference requirements.

The new executive order makes up for this previous omission and then some: it has the potential to affect a vast number of programs and projects, and may in fact impose domestic sourcing requirements in areas—such as internet infrastructure—that are not typically targets for domestic preferences.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

The president’s new executive order plays out against a background of two different regulatory regimes for construction. First, the federal Buy American Act (or “BAA”) applies to manufactured articles, materials, and supplies that are used in the construction of a federal building or federal work. By its terms, the BAA does *not* apply to state or local construction that receives federal funds.

However, other federal laws (frequently referred to by the confusingly similar name of “Buy America” laws) provide for domestic preferences for certain types of construction projects that receive federal funding. For example, the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982, which governs the financing of highway and mass transit projects, includes several “Buy America” provisions that generally require the use of “steel, iron, and manufactured products . . . produced in the United States,” subject to certain waiver authorities.⁴

FORMAT OF THE EXECUTIVE ORDER

The Trump Administration’s new executive order is targeted at a third category: federal programs that provide financial assistance (*e.g.*, grants, loans, cooperative agreements, etc.) to infrastructure projects, but do *not* currently include domestic preference requirements. More specifically, the order applies to “covered programs,” which are defined as “any program for which a focus of the statutory authorities under which it is administered is the award of Federal financial assistance for the alteration, construction, conversion, demolition, extension, improvement, maintenance, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or repair of an infrastructure project in the United States,” but *not* including:

- programs for which providing a domestic preference is inconsistent with law; *or*
- programs providing Federal financial assistance that are subject to comparable domestic preferences.

³ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/INFRASTRUCTURE-211.pdf>.

⁴ See 23 U.S.C. § 313(a) (highway projects); 49 U.S.C. § 5323(j) (mass transit projects).

The emphasis on domestic preference is accomplished by requiring the agencies that administer “covered programs” to “*encourage* recipients of new Federal financial assistance awards” to use certain products that are “produced in the United States” to the greatest extent possible.⁵

Agencies are required to begin “encouraging” the use of these products within 90 days of the date of the order (*i.e.*, by May 1, 2019). In addition, those agencies are required to identify, beyond encouragement, their strategy for maximizing the use of iron and aluminum as well as steel, cement, and other manufactured products produced in the United States in a report to be delivered to the president within 120 days of the issuance of the order (*i.e.*, by May 31, 2019). Importantly, the agency’s report must identify “whether [the] covered programs within the agency[s] jurisdiction would support” the imposition of a “requirement” to use “iron and aluminum as well as steel, cement, and other manufactured products produced in the United States” through the use of terms and conditions on new financial assistance awards. Thus, while the first effect of the order is to “encourage” the use of domestically-produced construction materials, the intended long-term effect is to generate new terms and conditions of grant and loan awards *requiring* the use of these materials.

QUESTIONS FOR CONTRACTORS

This new executive order raises several potentially thorny questions for members of the contracting community and other stakeholders.

What Projects Are Covered?

The order is aimed at federal assistance programs supporting “infrastructure projects” that currently are not subject to “comparable” domestic preferences, a category that could encompass a vast array of projects. The term “infrastructure projects” is broadly defined in the order to include any project to provide or support services to the general public in the following sectors:

- surface transportation, including roadways, bridges, railroads, and transit;
- aviation;
- ports, including navigational channels;
- water resources projects;
- energy production, generation, and storage, including from fossil-fuels, renewable, nuclear, and hydroelectric sources;

⁵ Emphasis added.

- electricity transmission;
- gas, oil, and propane storage and transmission;
- electric, oil, natural gas, and propane distribution systems;
- broadband internet;
- pipelines;
- stormwater and sewer infrastructure;
- drinking water infrastructure;
- cybersecurity; and
- any other sector designated through a notice published in the Federal Register by the Federal Permitting Improvement Steering Council.

From this list, it appears that any number of federal programs could be affected by the order's domestic preference requirements. To take just one example, several federal programs allow financial support for the provision of broadband internet to underserved or rural areas—these programs may soon be subject to domestic preference requirements.

What Does It Mean to Be “Produced in the United States”?

In addition to applying to a wide variety of projects, the executive order also applies to a potentially broad array of products that must be “produced in the United States.” The order applies to iron and aluminum, steel, cement, and other “manufactured products.” And the order defines “manufactured products” to include “items and construction materials composed in whole or in part of non-ferrous metals such as aluminum; plastics and polymer-based products such as polyvinyl chloride pipe; aggregates such as concrete; glass, including optical fiber; and lumber.”

But despite the broad array of products within the scope of its coverage, the order defines the term “produced in the United States” *only* with respect to iron and steel. Left unaddressed is how the government will determine whether the remaining covered products are “produced in the United States.” For instance, the order does not attempt to explain what is required for, say, fiber-optic cable or polymer-based products, to be considered to be “produced in the United States.” Absent some clarification, this ambiguity may result in considerable uncertainty at the implementation stage.

How Will New Domestic Preferences Be Imposed?

The order clearly contemplates that future financial assistance awards for “covered programs” will incorporate domestic preferences for “iron and aluminum as well as steel, cement, and other manufactured products.” The

order is unclear, however, how the Administration believes it can impose such preferences. The order is directed at Executive Branch agencies with no mention of Congress, but it is Congress that has created current domestic preferences for assistance programs in construction, such as the Surface Transportation Assistance Act. This could set up another battle over the power of the Executive Branch to “legislate.”

Alternatively, it is possible that the Administration intends for the executive order to be implemented on a purely contractual basis “through terms and conditions on new federal financial assistance awards.” Yet in that case, the metes and bounds of any domestic preference requirements would be determined entirely by the negotiations of the parties to any financial assistance agreement. Of course, absent some underlying statutory or regulatory authority, that approach could engender substantial inconsistency and uncertainty.

CONCLUSION

The new Executive Order could lead to considerable constraints on all types of contractors. On its face, it applies to a wide variety of projects and a potentially even wider variety of products—some of which will be new to the imposition of domestic preferences. However, the order also raises a number of significant questions that undoubtedly will affect the scope and nature of any new domestic preference requirements. Stakeholders in industries that utilize federal financial assistance would be well-advised to closely monitor developments in this area as the government begins to implement this latest order in the coming months.