

E-ALERT | White Collar

April 26, 2013

THE RALPH LAUREN CASE: INADEQUATE REWARDS FOR EXEMPLARY CORPORATE COOPERATION

On April 22, the U.S. Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission announced non-prosecution agreements (NPAs) with Ralph Lauren Corporation relating to the bribery of Argentine custom officials to import products into Argentina without necessary inspections and paperwork. The SEC said it decided not to bring charges because the company promptly self-reported the bribes and thoroughly cooperated in the SEC's investigation. Although the government will no doubt cite these NPAs as an exemplar of the benefits of self-reporting and cooperation, we think they reaffirm the importance of careful consideration before a company decides to self-report potential unlawful conduct.

Based on the facts recited in the SEC NPA, Ralph Lauren appears to have held itself to an extremely high standard of compliance. On its own initiative, the company adopted a new Foreign Corrupt Practices Act policy and distributed it to employees, which led some Argentine employees to raise concerns about the company's customs broker. The company immediately conducted an internal investigation, which ultimately uncovered improper payments and gifts to government officials. Within two weeks of this discovery, Ralph Lauren self-reported its findings to both the SEC and the DOJ. The NPA also highlights that Ralph Lauren adopted numerous remedial measures, including firing its customs broker and implementing further enhancements to its compliance program, cooperated extensively with the SEC, and undertook a world-wide review of its operations that uncovered no other violations.

It is difficult to imagine a set of facts more deserving of a non-public declination based on the criteria outlined by the SEC and the DOJ late last year in their FCPA Resource Guide: detection of the wrongdoing by the corporation itself; a thorough internal investigation of the misconduct; implementation of remedial measures, including termination of employees engaged in wrongdoing and improvements in internal controls and compliance programs; and voluntary disclosure to the DOJ and/or the SEC.¹ The only difference between this case and the six examples of declinations in the FCPA Resource Guide appears to be the size of the payments. The government may have viewed the amounts paid by the Ralph Lauren subsidiary (totaling about \$600,000) as not small enough to qualify for a declination.

The Ralph Lauren NPAs are far less advantageous to the company than a declination, which would have involved no public allegations of wrongdoing and no fines. By contrast, in addition to paying approximately \$1.6 million in penalties and disgorgement, under the DOJ NPA, the company had to publicly admit and accept responsibility for the illegal conduct, which potentially exposes it to shareholder lawsuits and reputational damage. The company also was required to agree to toll the statute of limitations, implement further extensive changes to its compliance program, and submit

¹ A Resource Guide to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Criminal Division of the U.S. Department of Justice and the Enforcement Division of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (Nov. 14, 2012), available at <http://www.sec.gov/spotlight/fcpa/fcpa-resource-guide.pdf>. Shortly after its publication, we issued an [advisory](#) analyzing the Guide.

annual reports to the DOJ detailing its remediation efforts. If Ralph Lauren is found to have breached any of the terms of the agreements – determined solely by the SEC or the DOJ – it may still face the original charges by both agencies, plus potentially new charges based on any information collected during the course of the NPAs.

The benefits to the government from entering into these NPAs are clear. NPAs – unlike deferred prosecution agreements and SEC injunctive actions – are not filed with any court, thus escaping the kind of judicial scrutiny that has recently been given to some SEC settlements. Moreover, the SEC and DOJ are able to emphasize, once again, the importance of voluntary disclosure and cooperation, while still requiring significant ongoing obligations on the part of the company.

The benefits to Ralph Lauren, on the other hand, are less clear. It is likely that the government applied a discount when deciding what sanctions to impose based on the company’s self-reporting and cooperation. However, it is not at all clear that any such discount was sufficient to cover the incremental investigative and other costs incurred by the company as a result of the self-report, and the additional burdens the company has agreed to shoulder by entering into the NPAs. For other companies contemplating whether to self-report potential FCPA violations, the case reinforces the importance of closely evaluating the risks and rewards of potential outcomes, especially given the government’s apparent reluctance to grant a declination even when presented with a textbook case of extraordinary cooperation.

If you have any questions concerning the material discussed in this client alert, please contact the following members of our Global Anti-Corruption, White Collar and Securities Litigation practice groups:

Tammy Albarrán	+1.415.591.7066	talbarran@cov.com
Robert Amaee	+44.(0)20.7067.2139	ramaee@cov.com
Stephen Anthony	+1.202.662.5105	santhony@cov.com
Bruce Baird	+1.202.662.5122	bbaird@cov.com
David Bayless	+1.415.591.7005	dbayless@cov.com
Eric Carlson	+1.86.10.5910.0503	ecarlson@cov.com
Casey Cooper	+44.(0)20.7067.2035	ccooper@cov.com
Christopher Denig	+1.202.662.5325	cdenig@cov.com
Steven Fagell	+1.202.662.5293	fagellse@cov.com
James Garland	+1.202.662.5337	jgarland@cov.com
Haywood Gilliam	+1.415.591.7030	hgilliam@cov.com
Barbara Hoffman	+1.212.841.1143	bhoffman@cov.com
Robert Kelner	+1.202.662.5503	rkelner@cov.com
Nancy Kestenbaum	+1.212.841.1125	nkestenbaum@cov.com
David Kornblau	+1.212.841.1084	dkornblau@cov.com
David Lorello	+44.(0)20.7067.2012	dlorello@cov.com
Lynn Neils	+1.212.841.1011	lneils@cov.com
Mona Patel	+1.202.662.5797	mpatel@cov.com
Don Ridings	+1.202.662.5357	dridings@cov.com
John Rupp	+44.(0)20.7067.2009	jrupp@cov.com
Anita Stork	+1.415.591.7050	astork@cov.com
Alan Vinegrad	+1.212.841.1022	avinegrad@cov.com

This information is not intended as legal advice. Readers should seek specific legal advice before acting with regard to the subjects mentioned herein.

Covington & Burling LLP, an international law firm, provides corporate, litigation and regulatory expertise to enable clients to achieve their goals. This communication is intended to bring relevant developments to our clients and other interested colleagues. Please send an email to unsubscribe@cov.com if you do not wish to receive future emails or electronic alerts.

© 2013 Covington & Burling LLP, One Front Street, San Francisco, CA 94111-5356. All rights reserved.