

JOURNAL *of* MEDICAL DEVICE REGULATION

November 2011

SPECIAL REPRINT

**FDA ISSUES
DRAFT GUIDANCE ON
MOBILE MEDICAL
APPLICATIONS**

***By Scott Danzis,
Ellen Flannery, Marie Boyd
and Christopher Pruitt***



Reproduced with the kind permission of Global Regulatory Press from the *Journal of Medical Device Regulation*, 2011, **8**(4), 51-56 (www.globalregulatorypress.com).

FDA Issues Draft Guidance on Mobile Medical Applications

On 21 July 2011, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a draft guidance document addressing how it intends to regulate certain software applications intended for use on mobile platforms (termed 'mobile medical apps') as medical devices^{1,2}. According to the draft guidance, a mobile medical app is a mobile software application that meets the definition of a device in the *Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act* (FFD&C Act) and is either:

- used as an accessory to a regulated medical device; *or*
- transforms a mobile platform into a regulated medical device.

If an app qualifies as a mobile medical app, the regulatory requirements of the FFD&C Act apply to the device. For other apps that qualify as devices under the FFD&C Act but do not meet the mobile medical app definition, the FDA intends to apply enforcement discretion.

While the draft guidance provides the most comprehensive source of the FDA's views on the application of the FFD&C Act to mobile medical apps, it also raises a number of significant questions.

Background

Prior to the draft guidance, few sources were available regarding the FDA's views on the application of the FFD&C Act to software and mobile apps. In 1989, the FDA issued a draft policy regarding the regulation of computer- or software-based medical devices³. In that guidance, the FDA stated that if computer programs met the definition of a device in the FFD&C Act, they were potentially subject to regulation as a device. However, the Agency stated that it would exercise enforcement discretion in several key respects. For example, the Agency indicated that it would not regulate software that merely provided traditional 'library' functions such as storage, retrieval and dissemination of medical information - functions traditionally carried out through textbooks and journals. Moreover, the policy also exempted software programs that allowed for 'competent human intervention' where clinical judgement and experience can be used to check and interpret a system's output.

Although never finalised, the 1989 draft policy remained the FDA's operative policy position on software for more than a decade. The FDA withdrew the policy in 2005⁴, later citing in the draft guidance the exponential growth of computer and software products used as medical devices and the diversification and increasing complexity of products. According to the Agency, the diversity of products that use software made it impractical to prepare an overarching software policy to address all of the issues related to the regulation of all medical devices containing software. In addition, the FDA issued guidance on discrete aspects of software used in medical devices. For example, the Agency issued guidance on the content of pre-market notifications for software contained in devices⁵ and guidance on 'off-the-shelf' software used in devices⁶.

In its new draft guidance on mobile medical apps, the Agency has stated that the purpose of the draft guidance is 'to inform manufacturers, distributors, and other entities about how the FDA intends to apply its regulatory authorities to select software applications

intended for use on mobile platforms'. While not intended to answer all questions related to mobile applications for healthcare purposes, the FDA stated that the draft guidance is intended to clarify the types of mobile apps to which the FDA intends to apply its authority.

Distinguishing 'mobile medical apps' from other apps

Under the FDA's draft guidance, the critical issue is to determine whether an app meets the description of a 'mobile medical app'. If so, the requirements of the FFD&C Act apply; if not, the app is either not a medical device, or is a medical device that the FDA will not actively regulate under an enforcement discretion policy. First, to qualify as a 'mobile medical app', an app must be a 'device' as defined in Section 201(h) of the FFD&C Act:

'an instrument, apparatus, implement, machine, contrivance, implant, in vitro reagent, or other similar or related article, including any component, part, or accessory, which is...intended for use in the diagnosis of disease or other conditions, or in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease, in man or other animals, or...intended to affect the structure or any function of the body of man or other animals...'⁷.

Second, the app must either be used as an accessory to a regulated medical device or transform a mobile platform into a regulated medical device. To explain these phrases, the guidance document provides examples of:

- mobile apps that are an extension of one or more medical device(s) by connecting – physically or not - to such device(s) for the purposes of controlling the device(s) or displaying, storing, analysing or transmitting patient-specific data;
- mobile apps that transform the mobile platform into a medical device by using attachments, display screens or sensors or by including functionalities similar to those of currently regulated medical devices; *and*
- mobile apps that allow the user to input patient-specific information and - using formulae or processing algorithms - output a patient-specific result, diagnosis or treatment recommendation to be used in clinical practice or to assist in making clinical decisions.

The draft guidance also provides in an appendix a non-exhaustive, exemplary list of functionalities to illustrate types of mobile medical apps. The functionalities are grouped into general categories, which generally mirror the examples discussed above.

The FDA also provides examples of mobile apps that it does not consider to be mobile medical apps. It groups these examples into five general types:

- apps that are electronic copies of medical textbooks (e.g. the electronic Physician's Desk Reference), teaching aids or reference materials (e.g. flash cards or quizzes used for training purposes or as reference material), or are solely used to provide clinicians with training or reinforce training previously received;

- apps that are solely used to log, record, track, evaluate or make decisions or suggestions related to general health and wellness development or maintenance (e.g. decision tools that generally relate to a healthy lifestyle and wellness, such as dietary tracking logs) [general health and wellness apps];
- apps that only automate general office operations with functionalities that include billing, inventory, appointments or insurance transactions (e.g. apps that enable insurance claims' data collection and processing);
- apps that are not commercially marketed for a specific medical indication but are generic aids that assist users (e.g. apps that use a mobile platform as a magnifying glass but not specifically for medical purposes); *and*
- apps that perform the functionality of an electronic health record system or personal health record system.

For these apps, the FDA will apply enforcement discretion - at least for the time being. The draft guidance states that the FDA will monitor these apps and determine whether additional or different actions are necessary to protect public health. The draft guidance states that at its discretion, a manufacturer may elect to register and list, and to seek approval or clearance for these mobile apps with the FDA. For all mobile app manufacturers whose apps may meet the device definition, the FDA strongly recommends that to prevent patient and user harm, the manufacturer follow the Quality Systems Regulations in Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (21 CFR) Part 820 and initiate prompt corrections to their mobile medical apps, when appropriate.

Who is responsible for complying with the requirements of the FFD&C Act?

As with all devices, the manufacturer of a mobile medical app is responsible for compliance with the FFD&C Act. A 'mobile medical app manufacturer' is defined as any person or entity that manufactures mobile medical apps in accordance with the FDA's regulations. Examples of mobile medical device manufacturers provided by the draft guidance include any person or entity that:

- creates, designs, develops, labels, re-labels, remanufactures or modifies a software system from multiple components;
- provides mobile medical app functionality through a 'web service' or 'web support' for use on a mobile platform; *or*
- initiates specifications or requirements for mobile medical apps or procures product development/manufacturing services from other individuals or entities for subsequent commercial distribution.

In contrast, entities that exclusively distribute mobile medical apps but do not engage in manufacturing functions are not included in this definition and thus are not responsible for compliance with the requirements of the FFD&C Act. The draft guidance identifies owners and operators of android market, iTunes store and BlackBerry App World™ as

possible examples.

With respect to mobile platform manufacturers - such as manufacturers of smart phones - the draft guidance indicates that whether such a manufacturer will be considered a device manufacturer depends on the intended use of the mobile platform. If the manufacturer commercially markets the platform with a medical device intended use or to be used with a device, it will be considered a device manufacturer. The draft guidance indicates that if, however, the manufacturer solely distributes or markets its platform with no device intended use, it is considered a component manufacturer and is exempt from the quality systems, registration and listing requirements.

Regulatory requirements for mobile medical apps

For those apps that qualify as mobile medical apps, the draft guidance states that manufacturers must meet the requirements associated with the applicable device classification. If the app itself falls within a medical device classification, the manufacturer must meet the requirements associated with that class. If the app adds medical device functionality to a mobile platform, the manufacturer must meet the classification requirements applicable to that functionality. The FDA sets forth four categories that identify the types of mobile medical apps and, for each, the associated classifications, including the following:

- Mobile medical apps that display, store or transmit patient-specific medical device data in its original format. Such apps are Medical Device Data Systems (Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (21 CFR) §880.6310) subject to Class I requirements (general controls).
- Mobile medical apps that control the intended use, function, modes or energy source of the connected medical device. Such apps are considered accessories and are required to comply with the controls and regulations applicable to the connected device as the Agency considers such apps to extend the device's use and functionality.
- Mobile medical apps that transform the mobile platform into a regulated medical device. Such apps must comply with the requirements of the platform's device classification. For example, a mobile medical app that uses internal or external mobile platform sensors for electronic stethoscope functions makes the platform an electronic stethoscope and is subject to the electronic stethoscope requirements in 21 CFR §870.1875(b) (Class II (performance standards)). Similarly, a mobile medical app that displays radiological images for diagnosis transforms the mobile platform into a Picture Archiving and Communication System (21 CFR §892.2050).
- Mobile medical apps creating alarms, recommendations or new information (data) by analysing or interpreting medical device data. Such apps, which analyse or interpret data that is electronically collected or manually entered from another medical device, are considered accessories to that device and are generally required to comply with that device's classification. For example, the draft guidance indicates that software that analyses blood glucose readings to help manage diabetes has been classified as

part of a Glucose Test System under 21 CFR §862.1345. It also provides examples of mobile medical apps with attachments to a mobile platform and mobile medical apps that use a hardware attachment or interface to a monitoring system that the FDA has cleared.

The draft guidance notes that although the FDA has typically expected an accessory manufacturer to meet the requirements associated with the connected device's classification, this approach may not be well suited for mobile medical apps that serve as a medical device accessory due to the wide variety of functions they can potentially perform.

Furthermore, the draft guidance indicates that the FDA expects mobile medical app distributors to co-operate with mobile medical app manufacturers with respect to corrections and removal actions and that mobile medical app manufacturers are required to make timely reports of corrections and removals made to reduce a health risk or remedy a violation of the FFD&C Act that presents a health risk, and to keep records regarding other corrections and removals.

Conclusion

As guidance, the draft document does not establish enforceable requirements. It is, however, the most comprehensive and developed source of guidance on mobile medical apps that the FDA has provided to date. The draft guidance helps to clarify how the FDA intends to regulate the rapidly-changing and growing area of mobile apps and specifically mobile medical apps. The draft guidance also clarifies several areas for which the FDA will exercise enforcement discretion. For example, the FDA has limited the scope of the definition of mobile medical apps to exclude health and wellness apps and apps that serve traditional library and reference functions - both of which are likely to present a low potential for risk. In addition, the FDA has clarified the roles and responsibilities for compliance with the FDA regulations, excluding entities that exclusively distribute mobile medical apps without engaging in manufacturing functions, as well as mobile platform manufacturers that solely distribute or market their platforms with no device intended use, from the meaning of mobile medical app manufacturer.

However, the draft guidance raises several potential issues and questions. For example, prior to the draft guidance the FDA had indicated that it intended to exempt computer products that are intended to involve competent human intervention before any impact on human health occurs (e.g. where clinical judgment and experience can be used to check and interpret a system's output) from certain regulatory requirements³. However, the draft guidance does not appear to contain a similar exception. Accordingly, apps that use simple algorithms to look up or automate common medical knowledge and are likely to present a low potential risk, could be subject to regulation by the FDA under the principles articulated in the draft guidance. In addition, the draft guidance does not address how currently-marketed mobile medical apps, which companies have previously assumed would be subject to enforcement discretion, will be treated. For example, the guidance is silent with respect to whether such apps may stay on the market if their

manufacturers commit to submitting a pre-market notification or application, if such is required.

The draft guidance is also ambiguous in other important respects. For example, how the FDA will regulate a number of decision support mobile apps remains unclear. According to the draft guidance, mobile apps that analyse data from a single medical device will be considered accessories to that device and are generally required to comply with the classification of the associated device. For mobile apps that analyse data from more than one device, however, the draft guidance notes that the app may present a greater or lesser risk than the associated devices. The FDA states that it will address in a separate document how these devices will be regulated and recommends that manufacturers of such mobile medical apps contact the Agency to determine the regulatory classification of their mobile app.

Finally, the Agency provides little guidance to companies that may need additional clarification regarding specific apps. The draft guidance states that the FDA encourages manufacturers of mobile medical apps to contact the Agency to determine the classification of their mobile apps, but provides no mechanism for manufacturers to obtain the Agency's input in a timely manner. The Agency's typical tools for obtaining such guidance (e.g. pre-investigational device exemption meetings and requests for classification under Section 513(g) of the FFD&C Act) may be impractical tools for the rapidly evolving app industry.

References

1. *Federal Register*, 2011, **76**(140), 43689 (21 July 2011).
2. www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm263280.htm.
3. FDA Policy for the Regulation of Computer Products (known as the 'Draft Software Policy'), 13 November 1989.
4. *Federal Register*, 2005, **70**(3), 824 (5 January 2005).
5. www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm089543.htm.
6. www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm073778.htm.
7. FFD&C Act §201(h); 21 United States Code §321(h).

This article has been written by **Scott Danzis** (email: sdanzis@cov.com), **Ellen Flannery** (email: eflannery@cov.com), **Marie Boyd** (email: mboyd@cov.com) and **Christopher Pruitt** (email: cpruitt@cov.com) of Covington & Burling LLP, 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004-2401, USA.